

**Student IA Evaluation for Shubham Sinha**  
**Department of Mathematics**MATH 20A - Calculus/Science & Engineering (Wang, Lujia)  
Winter 2019**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 28**  
**Number of Students Enrolled: 120**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

9 (32.1%): Strongly Agree  
12 (42.9%): Agree  
5 (17.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
2 (7.1%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

10 (35.7%): Strongly Agree  
12 (42.9%): Agree  
4 (14.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
2 (7.1%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant encouraged students to ask questions and participate in class/section.

15 (55.6%): Strongly Agree  
9 (33.3%): Agree  
3 (11.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable  
1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant interacted well with students and treated them with respect and courtesy.

14 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
13 (46.4%):	Agree
1 (3.6%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant did not just provide answers, but taught students how to solve problems.

12 (44.4%):	Strongly Agree
11 (40.7%):	Agree
4 (14.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

6. The section was useful and helped with the rest of the course.

9 (32.1%):	Strongly Agree
9 (32.1%):	Agree
6 (21.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (7.1%):	Disagree
2 (7.1%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

7. The sections were interesting and enjoyable.

8 (28.6%):	Strongly Agree
5 (17.9%):	Agree
11 (39.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (7.1%):	Disagree
2 (7.1%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

9 (32.1%):	Strongly Agree
10 (35.7%):	Agree
7 (25.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (3.6%):	Disagree
1 (3.6%):	Strongly Disagree

9. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- -
- At times, he understands the material so well that it eludes in practical value for the students. During explanations, he tends to draw out the theories that students may lose track. In addition, he tends to present multiple methods to solve problems, which is not a large problem in itself but cannot be effectively put into practice in class. A long tangent is likely if no specific topic is asked for.
- he could use some improvements
- He is a great TA, also helped students understand math in the big scheme of things when discussing certain topics.
- He was extremely quiet and did not explain the problems thoroughly
- I feel that he over complicated how to solve a question. He solves them very differently to how the professor would solve them.
- Overall, this IA just helped with the confusion that the professor left us with. The IA was very helpful throughout the quarter.
- The IA helped with math problems that were confusing for students.
- Writing larger and clearer was the main thing missing from these discussions, it was difficult to follow what was going on. I think the main thing he'd need to improve on is writing so the whole class can see.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Mathematics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.